UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DANIEL MCKNIGHT

Plaintiff,

Docket No. 1:17-cv-7563

- against -

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

HANLEY WOOD MEDIA, INC.

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Daniel McKnight ("McKnight" or "Plaintiff") by and through his undersigned counsel, as and for his Complaint against Defendant Hanley Wood Media, Inc., ("Hanley" or "Defendant") hereby alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action for copyright infringement under Section 501 of the Copyright Act and for the removal and/or alteration of copyright management information under Section 1202(b) of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act. This action arises out of Defendant's unauthorized reproduction and public display of a copyrighted photograph of a deck that collapsed after a Brooklyn party, owned and registered by McKnight, a New York based professional photographer. Accordingly, McKnight seeks monetary relief under the Copyright Act of the United States, as amended, 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq*.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This claim arises under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*, and this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

- 3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant transacts business in New York.
 - 4. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

PARTIES

- 5. McKnight is a professional photographer in the business of licensing his photographs to online and print media for a fee having a usual place of business at 329 3rd Avenue, Apt. 36, New York, New York 10010.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Hanley is a domestic for profit corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of Washington DC, with a place of business at One Thomas Circle, NW, Suite 600, Washington, DC 20005. At all times material, hereto, Hanley has owned and operated a website at the URL: www.DeckMagazine.com (the "Website").

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- A. Background and Plaintiff's Ownership of the Photograph
- 7. McKnight photographed a deck that collapsed after a Brooklyn party (the "Photograph"). A true and correct copy of the Photograph is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 8. McKnight then licensed the Photograph to the New York Post. On June 5, 2016, the New York Post ran an article that featured the Photograph on its web edition entitled *16* injured after deck collapses at Brooklyn Party. See http://nypost.com/2016/06/05/scores-injured-after-deck-collapses-at-brooklyn-mansion/. McKnight's name was featured in a gutter credit identifying him as the photographer of the Photograph. A true and correct copy of the Photograph in the article is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 9. McKnight is the author of the Photograph and has at all times been the sole owner of all right, title and interest in and to the Photograph, including the copyright thereto.

10. The Photograph is registered with the United States Copyright Office and given registration number VA 2-027-523.

B. Defendant's Infringing Activities

- 11. Hanley ran an article on the Website entitled *Deck Collapse Injures 16*. See http://www.deckmagazine.com/design-construction/framing/deck-collapse-injures-16_c. The article prominently featured the Photograph. A true and correct copy of the article is attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- 12. Hanley did not license the Photograph from Plaintiff for its article, nor did Hanley have Plaintiff's permission or consent to publish the Photograph on its Website.
- 13. Upon information and belief, Hanley removed McKnight's gutter credit and did not attribute the Photograph to anyone.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT AGAINST HANLEY) (17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 501)

- 14. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1-13 above.
- 15. Hanley infringed Plaintiff's copyright in the Photograph by reproducing and publicly displaying the Photograph on the Website. Hanley is not, and has never been, licensed or otherwise authorized to reproduce, publically display, distribute and/or use the Photograph.
- 16. The acts of Defendant complained of herein constitute infringement of Plaintiff's copyright and exclusive rights under copyright in violation of Sections 106 and 501 of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 106 and 501.

- 17. Upon information and belief, the foregoing acts of infringement by Hanley have been willful, intentional, and purposeful, in disregard of and indifference to Plaintiff's rights.
- 18. As a direct and proximate cause of the infringement by the Defendant of Plaintiff's copyright and exclusive rights under copyright, Plaintiff is entitled to damages and defendant's profits pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(b) for the infringement.
- 19. Alternatively, Plaintiff is entitled to statutory damages up to \$150,000 per work infringed for Defendant's willful infringement of the Photograph, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).
- 20. Plaintiff further is entitled to his attorney's fees and full costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505.
- 21. Defendant's conduct, described above, is causing, and unless enjoined and restrained by this Court, will continue to cause Plaintiff irreparable injury that cannot be fully compensated by or measured in money damages. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF INTEGRITY OF COPYRIGHT MANAGEMENT INFORMATION AGAINST Hanley (17 U.S.C. § 1202)

- 22. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1-21 above.
- 23. When the Photograph was published in the New York Post, the article contained copyright management information under 17 U.S.C. § 1202(b).
- 24. Upon information and belief, in its article on the Website, Hanley copied the Photograph from the New York Post and pasted it on the Website and intentionally and knowingly removed copyright management information identifying Plaintiff as the photographer of the Photograph.
 - 25. The conduct of Hanley violates 17 U.S.C. § 1202(b).

- 26. Upon information and belief, Hanley falsification, removal and/or alteration of the aforementioned copyright management information was made without the knowledge or consent of Plaintiff.
- 27. Upon information and belief, the falsification, alteration and/or removal of said copyright management information was made by Hanley intentionally, knowingly and with the intent to induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal their infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights in the Photograph. Hanley also knew, or should have known, that such falsification, alteration and/or removal of said copyright management information would induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal their infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights in the Photograph.
- 28. As a result of the wrongful conduct of Hanley as alleged herein, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Hanley the damages, that he sustained and will sustain, and any gains, profits and advantages obtained by Hanley because of their violations of 17 U.S.C. § 1202, including attorney's fees and costs.
- 29. Alternatively, Plaintiff may elect to recover from Hanley statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203(c) (3) in a sum of at least \$2,500 up to \$25,000 for each violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

- 1. That Defendant Hanley be adjudged to have infringed upon Plaintiff's copyrights in the Photograph in violation of 17 U.S.C §§ 106 and 501;
- 2. The Defendant Hanley be adjudged to have falsified, removed and/or altered copyright management information in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202.

3. Plaintiff be awarded Plaintiff's actual damages and Defendant's profits, gains or

advantages of any kind attributable to Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's

Photograph;

4. That, with regard to the Second Claim for Relief, Plaintiff be awarded either:

a) Plaintiff's actual damages and Defendant's profits, gains or advantages of any

kind attributable to Defendant's falsification, removal and/or alteration of

copyright management information; or b) alternatively, statutory damages of at

least \$2,500 and up to \$25,000 for each instance of false copyright management

information and/or removal or alteration of copyright management information

committed by Defendant pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203(c);

5. That Defendant be required to account for all profits, income, receipts, or other

benefits derived by Defendant as a result of its unlawful conduct;

6. That Plaintiff be awarded his costs, expenses and attorneys' fees pursuant to

17 U.S.C. § 1203(b);

7. That Plaintiff be awarded pre-judgment interest; and

8. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable in accordance with Federal

Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

Dated: Valley Stream, New York

October 3, 2017

LIEBOWITZ LAW FIRM, PLLC

By: /s/Richard Liebowitz Richard P. Liebowitz

11 Sunrise Plaza, Suite 305 Valley Stream, NY 11580 Tel: (516) 233-1660 RL@LiebowitzLawFirm.com

Attorney for Plaintiff Daniel McKnight